Divination and Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World edited by Amar Annus

Oriental Institute Seminars 6. Chicago, IL: The Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 2010. Pp. viii+351. ISBN 978-1-885923-68-4. Paper \$27.95. Available online: http://oi.uchicago.edu/pdf/ois6.pdf

Reviewed by
Alex Nice
University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa/
Université Libre de Bruxelles
alextnice@gmail.com

This collection of essays has its origin in the Fifth Annual University of Chicago Oriental Institute Seminar, 'Science and Superstition: Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World' (6–7 Mar 2009). The deliberately provocative colloquium title has been toned down for publication to reflect the focus of the volume, which is primarily on the interpretation of divinatory signs (omens, extispicy, and prophecy) and not on semiotics more broadly defined. As such, the volume is a welcome addition to the growing corpus of literature devoted to divination in the ancient Near East; and some of the contributors here will be familiar names to researchers in this field. However, scholars new to the field will be advised to read Manetti 1993, 1–13 for a brief but thorough introduction to divination in ancient Mesopotamia.

Though the collection is adorned with an image of the bronze model of a sheep's liver from Piacenza, classical scholars will be disappointed to discover that its locus is securely in the ancient Near East. Only two papers deal directly with Greek and Roman signs (Allen and Jacobs). No paper attempts to survey signs in Etruscan society and culture. One wonders why the editor did not choose a Mesopotamian illustration, such as the Old Babylonian clay liver in

The following are useful starting points: Bottéro 1974 and 1992, Manetti 1993, Koch-Westenholz 1995, Guinan 1996, Freedman 1998, Veldhuis 1999, Koch-Westenholz 2000, Nissinen 2000, Rochberg 2006, and Veldhuis 2006.

54 Aestimatio

the British Museum, London,<sup>2</sup> to avoid charges of misapplied symbolism.

The collection has three sections:

- (1) Theories of Divination and Signs,<sup>3</sup>
- (2) Hermeneutics of Sign Interpretation,<sup>4</sup> and
- (3) History of Sign Interpretation<sup>5</sup>

bookended by an introduction<sup>6</sup> and a response.<sup>7</sup> The overall and internal principles of organization seem relatively arbitrary. It might have made more sense to have grouped articles synchronically or diachronically by subject matter. Nonetheless, what can be learnt from this volume that might be useful for Classicists?

One of the most significant themes running through the volume is that the ways in which the omen catalogues were composed cannot be divorced from the circumstances of their composition. This is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Western Asia Collection #ME92688.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Francesca Rochberg, "If P, then Q": Form and Reasoning in Babylonian Divination' [19–27]; James Allen, 'Greek Philosophy and Signs' [29–42]; Ulla Susanne Koch, 'Three Strikes and You're Out! A View of Cognitive Theory and the First Millennium Extispicy Ritual' [43–60]; Edward L. Shaughnessy, 'Arousing Images: The Poetry of Divination and the Divination of Poetry' [61–76]; Niek Veldhuis, 'The Theory of Knowledge and the Practice of Celestial Divination' [77–91].

Eckart Frahm, 'Reading the Tablet, the Exta, and the Body: The Hermeneutics of Cuneiform Signs in Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries and Divinatory Texts' [93–142]; Scott B. Noegel, "Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign": Script, Power, and Interpretation in the Ancient Near East' [143–162]; Nils P. Heeßel, 'The Calculation of the Stipulated Term in Extispicy' [163–176]; Abraham Winitzer, 'The Divine Presence and Its Interpretation in Early Mesopotamian Divination' [177–198]; Barbara Böck, 'Physiognomy in Ancient Mesopotamia and Beyond: From Practice to Handbook' [199–224].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Seth F. C. Richardson, 'On Seeing and Believing: Liver Divination and the Era of Warring States (II)' [225–266]; Cynthia Jean, 'Divination and Oracles at the Neo-Assyrian Palace: The Importance of Signs in Royal Ideology' [267–276]; JoAnn Scurlock, 'Prophecy as a Form of Divination: Divination as a Form of Prophecy' [277–316]; John Jacobs, 'Traces of the Omen Series Šumma izbu in Cicero, De divinatione' [317–339].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Amar Annus, 'On the Beginnings and Continuities of Omen Sciences in the Ancient World' [1–18].

Martti Nissinen, 'Prophecy and Omen Divination: Two Sides of the Same Coin' [341–351].

common issue for Classicists concerned, for example, with the psychological pressures that might lead to an increase in the number of prodigy reports in periods of crisis or with the considerations at work in the use of divination to promote or hinder political ambitions.<sup>8</sup>

Several articles remark on the derivation of the omens' authority from the gods (ultimately from Ea himself) and report that their contents were only to be seen by a select few who saw themselves as guardians of knowledge. For example, Veldhuis suggests that the commentary of Summa Sin Ina on *Enuma Anu Enlil* (the text authored by Ea) provided an extra textual layer negotiating between the authoritative word of Ea and the actual practice of the diviner at the royal court.

Winitzer also considers the relationship between theory and practice. Despite the authority of the gods, there is relative silence in the texts regarding divinities. He suggests that, while there was an interest in the divine presence, the growth of writing and the need to interpret the words themselves led to less emphasis on the divine presence; in turn commentaries were required to explain revelation which itself was also relegated.

In a slightly different vein, Richardson argues against the 'autogenetic' nature of human enquiry and maintains that the second millennium texts do not presume a continuous scholarly tradition. He suggests that there was an extispical oral tradition in Old Sumerian temple-cities in the south. It was not until the 19th–18th centuries BC that the north appropriated this knowledge in deliberately crafting omen compendia and deploying liver models, all within the context of the Mesopotamian state struggles of this period. Finally, in the late Old Babylonian and Kassite period, extispicy became more widely available through school texts and in reports for individual clients. Tied into Richardson's analysis is the need for kings to utilize forms of power that they could trust. Diviners operated in the secular world, which made them ideal for circumventing the inherited power structures within the royal court whilst acting as trusted advisors at the highest levels of power. The context of the 19th to 18th century power struggles invites comparison to the last years of the Roman Republic, where one may view military dynasts such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, for example, Liebeschuetz 1979, 7–17.

as Marius, Sulla, and eventually Augustus, turning to diviners who had no allegiance to the traditional priesthoods (pontifices, augures, XVviri sacris faciundis) to foster their political ambitions.<sup>9</sup>

The application of modern terminology to ancient systems of thought and belief is fraught with danger. This is especially the case with terms such as 'science', 'superstition', or 'magic'. Nonetheless, Jean argues that the ways in which divination was supported psychologically, socially, and politically in the Neo-Assyrian world implies that it was indeed a 'science' in its broadest sense. She suggests too that there was a process of negotiation that involved the king and his advisors and concerned the validity of a particular sign, which indicates a desire to come to a well-assessed conclusion and is akin to the enquiries of modern-day scientists. Similarities in this regard may be drawn with the Roman Senate's desire on occasion to request a second opinion regarding a prodigy, as in the case of the rise of water at the Alban Lake in 396 BC (haruspex and Delphi), or the cooperation of pontifices, XVviri, and haruspices in 207 BC.<sup>10</sup> Of course, for a Greek or Roman audience the application of the terms 'ars' ('skill') and 'scientia' ('knowledge') to divination posed no such difficulties. It was just another method by which the mysteries of the universe could be unveiled.<sup>11</sup>

The notion of divination as a 'science' is also broached by Rochberg. She argues that the 'tight, logical structure' of the omen lists with their protases and apodoses (if x, then y) is no less scientific than modern definitions of the term because they provide essential

On Marius and Martha, the Syrian prophetess, see Plutarch, Mar. 42. On Marius' use of a haruspex, see Sallust, Iug. 63–64. On Sulla's use of Chaldaeans, see Plutarch, Sulla 37 [cf. 5]; Velleius Paterculus, Res gestae 2.24.3. On Sulla and the haruspex Postumius, see Cicero, De div. 1.72 [cf. Appianus, Bell. civ. 1.50]; Plutarch Sulla 9; Cicero, De div. 2.65, Augustine, De civ. dei 2.24; Valerius Maximus, Mem. 1.6.4. For an overview of Augustus and divination, see Nice 2000, 88–97.

On the Alban Lake, see Livy, Ab urbe 5.15.1–16.1, 16.8–17.4, 18.11–19.2, 23.1; and Engels 2007, 365 §52 with relevant bibliography. For 207 BC, see Livy, Ab urbe 27.37. Again there is copious literature on the subject: Engels 2007, 470 §127. For a well-balanced discussion, refer to Champeaux 1996.

See, e.g., Pease 1920–1923, Krostenko 2000, and Wardle 2006 on Cicero's De div. for the importance of divination as a subject for serious philosophical enquiry.

clues to the worldview of the Babylonian and Assyrian scribes, and to what their concept of knowledge, reasoning, and even 'truth' was. Further on, Frahm argues how the inherent polysemy and polyphony would imbue the omen texts with additional layers of meaning. Other contributions suggest more thoroughly that omen compendia should be read as texts in their own right.

Classical scholars familiar with the pronouncements of the haruspices (who 'sing' their pronouncements)<sup>12</sup> or the vates of the Augustan age, will not be surprised to discover on reading Shaughnessy's chapter that there are similarities in ancient China between divination (the *I Ching* or *Zhou yi*) and poetry (the *Shi Jing*). Nor will it be a total surprise to learn that the divinatory texts use association, analogy, and wordplay; and that, although thorough analyses of these terms exist for the Sibylline oracles, <sup>13</sup> there is still much more that could be achieved for the worlds of Etruria and Rome.

The act of writing and standardization may have assisted in the preservation of ancient forms of knowledge but those same texts were then subject to scholarly interpretation and rationalization, as Böck points out [209]. Her thorough analysis of the physiognomic texts—the one form of divination in which signs are seen from the client's point of view rather than the interpreter's—may well offer further clues to its practice in the Greek and Roman worlds.<sup>14</sup>

Students of Greek and Roman divination should be interested in the significance attached to extispicy in ancient Mesopotamia. Koch tests the ground between divination and magic to consider whether extispicy might be countered by apotropaic rituals. Since, she argues, extispicy covered both information gathering and aversive rituals, there was no need for further apotropaic measures. As at Rome, sacrifice could be repeated and there was an emphasis on correct observance rather than on the person of the diviner.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Torelli 1975 and Hano 1986.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Parke and Wormell 1956, and Fontenrose 1978.

Barton 1995 argues that physiognomy and rhetoric had much in common. Both emphasize the presentation of character (ethos) through antiquity's cultural categories and oppositions (man, not woman; citizen, not foreigner; man, not animal).

Heeßel demonstrates that extispicy also had a stipulated time frame of efficacy (one year). Like Koch he notes that extispicy functions in both directions and so proffers 'real communication' with the gods. Scholars will be confused to learn that this makes it 'unlike other forms of divination' [163]. In fact, this makes it very like other forms of divination such as augury, sortition, oracular divination, even the Etruscan fulgural discipline. These all offer a two-way process in which the human world asks the gods a question and they respond with a sign written via the birds, the oracular lot, the Sibyl's prophecy, or a specific answer to the question 'what if it thunders on such-and-such a day?' The answer is not always 'yes' or 'no' but it is certainly two-way communication.

Classical scholars conversant with Cicero might be surprised to read in the introduction that 'prophecy and divination are historically related to each other more closely than is generally assumed' [12]. Prophecy features in Noegel's comparative approach to the divinatory systems of Mesopotamia, Egypt, and Israel. He argues that the relevant texts, their language and images, allow for a fuller understanding of the performative power of divination and its effects on the promotion of certain ideological and cosmological concepts. Of the three societies, he notes the greater emphasis on orality in the Israelite tradition as the prophets themselves become signs.

The point is then taken up more wholeheartedly in the article by Scurlock and in Nissinen's response. The former argues that the Uruk and Dynastic Prophecies are prophetic texts in the same way as Nahum and Isaiah 36–37, but lack the universal appeal of the biblical narratives. While Nissinen agrees that prophecy and omen divination belong to the same symbolic universe, he argues that a distinction should be made between prophecy and omen divination. The starting point for this assertion is 'most prophets probably had nothing to do with livers of sacrificial animals or with the observation of the movements of the stars' [343]. In other words, and following Cicero, they were not concerned with artificial divination, only with natural divination. The statement is particularly problematic when applied to seers from Greece and, to a lesser extent, the Roman world.

On augury, see Linderski 1986. On sortition, see Champeaux 1986 and 1990. On oracles, see Parke and Wormell 1956, Fontenrose 1978, Parke 1988, and Stoneman 2011. On fulgural lore, see Thulin 1905–1909, 1.1–128.

When the action moves eastwards, the seer is both intellectual and prophet, able to glide easily from the highest to lowest social classes. The blind Teiresias is a case in point but so too are the historical seers from Greece. 16 From Rome we can point to the *gens Marcia* who are not only conspicuous as priests but also implicated in a prophetic tradition which allowed their predictions to be written down and collated with the Sibylline oracles. 17

This is an appropriate point to turn to the two articles which deal directly with the Greek and Roman worlds. Allen challenges our assumptions regarding signs which are not easily compatible with the ways in which Greek philosophers viewed them. The opening section considers the term 'sign' as often utilized in modern-day English as well as Aristotle's understanding of those inferences that allow us to know the *that* and those which help us understand the *why*. A feature of the ancient view was that experience was insufficient to understand the underlying nature of things. Real art  $(\tau \dot{\epsilon} \chi \nu \eta)$  and real knowledge  $(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \eta)$  were required for a true understanding.

After a brief summary of Sextus Empiricus (whose followers regarded experience as totally sufficient, drawing a distinction between 'commemorative' and 'indicative' signs), Allen contrasts the Stoic and Epicurean views. The Stoic viewpoint is examined via Cicero's interpretation of natural and artificial divination. Observation and experience offer clues to understanding the will of the gods and, as such, there is no distinction between the natural and non-natural. These clues can be comprehended along 'purely empirical lines' in that signs are not only produced by the divine will but are intended to be recognized by the human interpreter (normally the diviner). The Epicurean position, as outlined in Philodemus' De signis, might be seen to overcome the limitations on experience in the debate between rationalism and empiricism [39], since the Epicureans omit to offer a contrast between the two. Their approach is characterized by

On the multifaceted abilities of Greek seers, see Dillery 2005 and Flower 2008, esp. ch. 2, pp. 22–71. On Aristander, see Nice 2005.

On C. Marcius Rutilus, the first plebeian pontiff and augur, see Livy, Ab urbe 10.9.2. On M. Marcius, rex sacrorum, see Livy, Ab urbe 27.6.16. On the prophetic Marcius or Marcii, see Cicero, De div. 1.115, 2.113; Livy, Ab urbe 25.32.3–4. See Rüpke 2008, 787–790 for a full prosopography.

60 Aestimatio

a limited grasp of the natures and causes at work in which observations reveal that things have to be as they are observed to be. Nonphilosophers may find this paper somewhat heavy going unless they are familiar with the prevailing views on the interpretation of signs among the different philosophical schools.

Jacobs seeks to find traces of the omen series  $\check{S}umma~izbu$  in Cicero's De~divinatione and to explain the transmission of those traces. He notes that despite Cicero's general understanding of divination in the Near East, no Classical scholar has attempted to trace these influences.

A brief study of abnormal human births in the *De divinatione* is slightly flawed by Jacobs' analysis of the phrase 'visa est' because he equates 'videri' with 'somniare' [323]. Cicero's 'somniavit se peperisse satyricum' is an accusative and infinitive construction not a 'reflexive construction' and the phrase 'visa est' does not have to indicate specifically a dream. The phrase is standard in the prodigy lists of Livy and Julius Obsequens to indicate the observation of any phenomenon which *seemed* to suggest a sign from the gods. <sup>19</sup>

The main argument centers around Cicero,  $De\ div. 1.121$ : 'if a woman gave birth to a lion, the country in which this happened would be overcome by a foreign nation.' The similarity of this dream to others concerning Pericles in Herodotus and Plutarch reflect concerns in a series of lion omens to be found in  $\check{S}umma\ izbu$ , but particularly 1.5.

As Jacobs notes there is no clear evidence of transmission from Near East to Rome. Nonetheless circumstantial evidence allows a more generous conclusion. The coast of Asia Minor and its Greek colonies offer an immediate starting point. It was Burkert who first argued that the Sibyl of Delphi had much in common with the 'raving women' of Babylon and Assyria, and the interconnected stories of Calchas, Amphiaraus, and Mopsus offer another East to West association.<sup>20</sup> A comparison of Theophrastus, Pliny, and Artemidorus on the writings of Aristander of Telmessus, Alexander the Great's legendary seer, hint at a literary transmission from Near East to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See Glare 1983, 1790 s.v. somnio 1b.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See, e.g., Luterbacher 1904, 44; Engels 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See Stoneman 2011, 77–80.

Hellenistic Greece and thence to Rome.<sup>21</sup> A close analysis of the surviving fragments of Etruscan brontoscopic calendars, such as that attributed to Nigidius Figulus,<sup>22</sup> with the protasis/apodosis form characteristic of the Mesopotamian omen lists might yield a step from Near East to Rome. More persuasive, however, is the Roman tradition for the transmission of augury from Persia to Italy via Cybele's favorite silenus, the Phrygian Marsyas and his envoy Megales.<sup>23</sup> And in his Antiquitates rerum divinarum, Varro suggested that hydromantia and necromantia were brought to Rome by the Persians, and that hydromantia, taught to Numa by Egeria, was how Numa learnt the secrets contained in the pontifical books.<sup>24</sup> Furthermore, Livy's Numa receives his religion from the Sabines and they, if the myths are correct, learnt augury from Megales and acquired their 'plain living and austerity' from their admixture of Spartan blood. Would it be too far-fetched to suggest that the false association of the Greek philosopher Pythagoras with Numa may conceal the intervening step in this process of divinatory transmission?<sup>25</sup>

As with any collection derived from a conference, papers vary considerably in length and strength. The articles range in scope from 9 to 50 pages. Some readers may be frustrated by those articles which lack a clear or strong conclusion (Allen, Noegel, Böck, Jean, Jacobs). Nonetheless, the point of research is not only to find answers to pressing questions but also to suggest possibilities for future enquiry. In this 'age of information', it is barely possible for individual scholars to assume that they can have read all the relevant literature or have all the answers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See Nice 2005, esp. 90–95 with nn19, 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See Swoboda 1964 93–106.

See Silius Italicus, Pun. 8.502–504 for the arrival of Marsyas in Italy; Pliny, Nat, hist. 3.12 (Cn. Gellius) for Megales imparting augury to the Sabines. On Marsyas, his role in augury, and his significance at Rome, see Small 1982; Torelli 1982, 99–106; Coarelli 1992, 91–123; Schertz 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Cardauns 1976, 36 = Varro, *Ant.* 1 app. iv.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See Livy, *Ab urbe* 1.18.4 (on Numa and the Sabines), 2.49 (on Dionysius). On Numa and Pythagoras, see Livy, *Ab urbe* 1.18.2; Cicero *Resp.* 2.28 ff. Penwill 2004, 39 suggests that when the Pythagorean books of Numa were discovered in 181 BC [cf. Livy, *Ab urbe* 40.29], the problem with them was that they problematically revealed Numa's Roman religion not to be Roman at all but Greek.

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

62

- Barton, T. S. 1995. Power and Knowledge: Astrology, Physiognomics, and Medicine under the Roman Empire. Ann Arbor.
- Bottéro, J. 1974. 'Symptômes, signes, écritures en Mésopotamie ancienne'. Pp. 70–196 in J.-P. Vernant ed. *Divination et rationalité*. Paris.
- \_\_\_\_\_1992. Mesopotamia: Writing, Reasoning, and the Gods. Chicago.
- Cardauns, B. 1976. Varro. Antiquitates rerum divinarum. 2 vols. Mainz.
- Champeaux, J. 1986. 'Oracles institutionnels et formes populaires de la divination italique'. Pp. 90–113 in D. Briquel and C. Guittard edd. La divination dans le monde étrusco-italique. Caesaro-dunum Suppl. 54.2.
- \_\_\_\_\_1990. 'Sors oraculi. Les oracles en Italie sous la république et l'empire'. Mélanges de l'École Française de Rome 102:271–302.

\_\_\_\_\_1996. 'Pontifes, haruspices et décemvirs l'expiation des prodiges de 207'. Revue des Études Latines 74:67–91.

- Coarelli, F. 1992. Il foro romano. 2 vols. Rome.
- Dillery, J. 2005. 'Chresmologues and *Manteis*: Independent Diviners and the Problem of Authority'. Pp. 200–209 in S. Iles Johnston and P. Struck edd. *Mantike: Studies in Ancient Divination*. Leiden.
- Engels, D. 2007. Das römisches Vorzeichenwesen (753–27 v. Chr.). Quellen, Terminologie, Kommentar, historische Entwicklung. Stuttgart.
- Flower, M. A. 2008. The Seer in Ancient Greece. Berkeley/Los Angeles/London.
- Fontenrose, J. 1978. The Delphic Oracle: Its Responses and Operations. Berkeley.
- Freedman, S. M. 1998. If a City is Set on a Height. The Akkadian Omen Series Šumma Alu ina Mēlê Šakin. Philadelphia.
- Glare, P. G. W. 1983. ed. Oxford Latin Dictionary. Oxford.
- Guinan, A. K. 1996. 'Left/Right Symbolism in Mesopotamian Divination'. State Archives of Assyria Bulletin 10.1:5–10.
- Hano, M. 1986. 'Haruspex et vates chez Tite Live'. Pp. 101–121 in C. Guittard ed. La divination dans le monde étrusco-italique. Caesardonum Suppl. 3.
- Koch-Westenholz, U. 1995. Mesopotamian Astrology. An Introduction to Babylonian and Assyrian Astrology. Copenhagen.
- 2000. Babylonian Liver Omens. The Chapters Manzāzu, Padānu, and Pān tākalti of the Babylonian Extispicy Series Mainly from Aššurbanipal's Library. Copenhagen.
- Krostenko, B. 2000. 'Beyond (Dis)belief. Rhetorical Form and Religious Symbol in Cicero's *De divinatione'*. Transactions of the American Philological Association 130:353–391.
- Liebeschuetz, J. H. W. G. 1979. Continuity and Change in Roman Religion. Oxford.
- Linderski, J. 1986. 'The Augural Law'. Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt 2.16.3:2146–2312.

Luterbacher, F. 1904. Die Prodigienglaube und Prodigienstil der Römer. Burgdorf.

- Manetti, G. 1993. Theories of the Sign in Classical Antiquity. C. Richardson trans. Bloomington, IN.
- Nice, A. 2000. *Divination and Roman Historiography*. Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Exeter. Exeter.
- \_\_\_\_\_2005. 'The Reputation of the *Mantis* Aristander'. *Acta Classica* 18:87–102.
- Nissinen, M. 2000. 'The Socioreligious Role of the Neo-Assyrian Prophets'. Pp. 89–114 in M. Nissinen ed. Prophecy in its Ancient Near Eastern Context: Mesopotamian, Biblical, and Arabian Perspectives. Atlanta.
- Parke, H. W. 1988. Sibyls and Sibylline Prophecy in Classical Antiquity. B. McGing ed. London.
- Parke, H. W. and Wormell, D. 1956. *The Delphic Oracle*. 2 vols. Oxford.
- Pease, A. S. 1920–1923. M. Tulli Ciceronis de divinatione. 2 vols. Urbana, IL.
- Peek, P. 1991. 'Introduction: The Study of Divination, Present and Past'. Pp. 1–22 in P. Peek ed. African Divination Systems: Ways of Knowing. Bloomington, IN.
- Penwill, J. L. 2004. 'De integro condere: Rediscovering Numa in Livy's Rome'. Scholia 13:28–55
- Rochberg, F. 2006. 'Old Babylonian Celestial Divination'. Pp. 337—348 in A. K. Guinan ed. If a Man Builds a Joyful House: Assyriological Studies in Honor of Erle Verdun Leichty. Cuneiform Monographs 31. Leiden.
- Rüpke, J. 2008. Fasti sacerdotum. A Prosopography of Pagan, Jewish, and Christian Religious Officials in the City of Rome, 300 BC to AD 499. D. Richardson trans. Oxford.
- Schertz, P. 2005. Seer or Victim? The Figure of Marsyas in Roman Art, Religion, and Politics. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Southern California. Los Angeles.
- Small, J. P. 1982. Cacus and Marsyas in Etrusco-Roman Legend. Princeton, NJ.

Stoneman, R. 2011. The Ancient Oracles: Making the Gods Speak. New Haven/London.

- Swoboda, A. ed. 1964. P. Nigidii Figuli opera. Amsterdam.
- Thulin, C. 1905–1909.  $Die\ Etruskische\ Disciplin$ . 3 vols. Göteborg.
- Torelli, M. 1975. Elogia Tarquiniensia. Firenze.
- \_\_\_\_\_1982. Typology and Structure of Roman Historical Reliefs.
  Ann Arbor, MI.
- Veldhuis, N. 1999. 'Reading the Signs'. Pp. 161–174 in H. L. J. Vanstiphout ed. All Those Nations: Cultural Encounters within and with the Near East: Studies Presented to Han Drijvers at the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday by Colleagues and Students. Groningen.
- 2006. 'Divination: Theory and Use'. Pp. 487–497 in A. K. Guinan ed. If a Man Builds a Joyful House: Assyriological Studies in Honor of Erle Verdun Leichty. Cuneiform Monographs 31. Leiden.

Wardle, D. 2006. Cicero: On Divination Book 1. Oxford.